



CITY of CALABASAS

## **Architectural Review Panel Action Minutes**

Friday, March 23, 2012, 2:30 P.M.  
Conference Room 3, City Hall  
100 Civic Center Way, Calabasas  
[www.cityofcalabasas.com](http://www.cityofcalabasas.com)

The starting times listed for each agenda item should be considered a guideline only. The Architectural Review Panel reserves the right to alter the order of discussion in order to run an effective meeting. If you wish to assure yourself of hearing a particular discussion, please attend the entire meeting.

### **Opening Matters:**

- Call to Order – The Meeting was called to order at 2:40pm

**Present:** Jeff Cooper, Mark Handel

**Staff Present:** Senior Planner Starns, Associate Planner Cohen-Cutler, Planning Assistant Rice

### **Review Items:**

1. **File No. DEV-007-003 and VAR-080000133.** A request for a modification to Development Plan No. DEV-007-003 to construct a 25'-high, 500 square-foot, second-floor addition in the rear of the approved 32'-high, 6,559 square-foot, two-story single-family residence with an attached 929 square-foot garage and swimming pool within the Open Space (OS) zoning district, at 3420 Cordova Drive.

**Recommendation:** The ARP recommended approval of the project as proposed.

2. **File No. 110001662.** A request for a Site Plan Review, Scenic Corridor Permit, Variance and Oak Tree Permit to construct a 1,862 square-foot home with an attached 480 square-foot two-car garage, and to encroach within the protected zone of a heritage oak tree, on a property located at 23501 Summit Drive, (APN: 2072-011-001) within the Rural Community (RC) zoning district, Calabasas Highlands (CH) overlay zone, and designated Scenic Corridor (SC).

**Present:** Jocelyn Mackay, Applicant

**Comments:**

1. With the materials presented to the panel you can't tell the detailing for the concrete walls. There needs to be a better presentation to show the merits of the building's architecture
2. The proposed project is a stark design in relation to the neighbors. Landscaping should be used to soften the architecture of the building.

3. Better presentation of the materials is needed. The materials board should use examples from product catalogues not examples of other projects.
4. Better scaled elevations and color and materials boards are needed.
5. Roof design is weak. It cuts of the geometric form of the building. It would be better if it had slightly different heights in the roof form.

**Recommendation:** While the ARP members did not have an issues with the modern design that is proposed there was not enough details in the provided and not enough information presented. Therefore, because the lack of information, ARP could not recommend approval.

3. **File No. 120000083.** A request for a Site Plan Review to allow construction of a 670 square-foot second-floor addition at an existing single-family residence located at 23350 Park Hacienda within the Residential, Single-Family (RS) zoning district.

**Present:** George Pada, Applicant; the contractor was also present  
**Recommendation:** The ARP recommended approval of the project as proposed.

**Adjournment:**

The meeting was adjourned at 3:40pm to the Architectural Review Panel Meeting of April 27, 2012, at 2:30 P.M., City Hall, Conference Room #3, 100 Civic Center Way.