

City of Calabasas Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Measure M Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2023 and 2022 with Independent Auditor's Report





| | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| FINANCIAL SECTION | |
| Independent Auditor's Report | 1 |
| Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget | 4 5 6 |
| Schedule of Capital Assets | 7 |
| Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets | 8 |
| Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: | 9 |
| Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets | 10 11 |
| Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: | 12 |
| Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance | 12 13 |
| Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets | 14 15 |
| Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: | 40 |
| Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance | 16 17 |
| Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets | 18 19 |
| Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: | |
| Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: | 20 21 |
| Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects | 22 |
| Notes to Funds Financial Statements | 23 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards | 28 |

| | PAGE |
|---|----------|
| COMPLIANCE SECTION | |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Guidelines | 30 |
| Compliance Matrix SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS | 34 41 |
| EXIT CONFERENCE | 42 |



655 N. Central Avenue Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203



213-873-1700 OFFICE

LOS ANGELES
SAN DIEGO
IRVINE
SACRAMENTO
FRESNO
PHOENIX
LAS VEGAS
MANILA, PH



Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Calabasas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Calabasas, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2023 and 2022, the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the Funds financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Calabasas, California as of June 30, 2023 and 2022, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audits. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Calabasas, California, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2023 and 2022, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit
 procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an
 opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is
 expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.



We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with GAAS. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

aguer & Company LLP

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 20, 2023 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Glendale, California

December 20, 2023

| | | | June 30 | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|------------------------|--|
| | | - | 2023 | | 2022 | |
| Cash and investments | ASSETS | \$ | 1,204,102 1,180 | \$ | 1,153,177 1,113 | |
| | Total assets | \$ | 1,205,282 | \$ | 1,154,290 | |
| LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued payroll | S AND FUND BALANCE | \$ | 31 1,173 | \$ | 3 2,783 | |
| , , , , | Total liabilities | | 1,204 | 3 8 | 2,786 | |
| Fund balance Restricted | Total fund balance | ÷ | 1,204,078 1,204,078 | | 1,151,504 1,151,504 | |
| | Total liabilities and fund balance | \$ | 1,205,282 | \$ | 1,151,304 | |
| | | - = | | 6 3 | | |

City of Calabasas Proposition A Local Return Fund Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

| | | | Years ended June 30 | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----|---------------------|------|-----------|
| | | = | 2023 | 0 | 2022 |
| Revenues Proposition A | | \$ | 639,796 | \$ | 610,946 |
| Interest income | | _ | 28,508 | = | 2,325 |
| | Total revenues | - | 668,304 | 3= | 613,271 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Various projects | | - | 615,730 | | 422,183 |
| | Total expenditures | - | 615,730 | - | 422,183 |
| Excess of revenues over expenditures | | | 52,574 | | 191,088 |
| Fund balance at beginning of year | | - | 1,151,504 | : S= | 960,416 |
| Fund balance at end of year | | \$_ | 1,204,078 | \$_ | 1,151,504 |

City of Calabasas Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2023 (With Comparative Actuals for 2022)

| | | | 2023 | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|----|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Project Code | Project Name | Metro Budget | Actual | | Variance Positive (Negative) | 2022 Actual |
| 110 | Flexible Route Shuttle \$ | 110,000 \$ | 117,498 | \$ | (7,498) \$ | 94,739 |
| 110 | Old Town Calabasas/Commons Trolley | 10,000 | 12,300 | | (2,300) | 24,457 |
| 110 | Public Transit Fueling | 43,000 | 45,568 | | (2,568) | 36,086 |
| 110 | JARC Grant Local Match Funding | 95,000 | 99,857 | | (4,857) | 76,055 |
| 130 | Dial-A-Ride | 150,000 | 162,126 | | (12,126) | 100,275 |
| 140 | Summer Beach Bus | 20,000 | 22,000 | | (2,000) | 1,529 |
| 140 | Calabasas Pumpkin Festival | 1,100 | 1,295 | | (195) | - |
| 175 | Shuttle Redesign | 8,000 | | | 8,000 | - |
| 180 | Vehicle and Miscellaneous Equipment | 15,000 | 22,941 | | (7,941) | 18,678 |
| 180 | Title VI Program Update | 9,000 | 9,000 | | 5 | |
| 280 | Transit Marketing | 100 | * | | 100 | - |
| 610 | Direct Administration | 115,000 | 123,145 | | (8,145) | 70,364 |
| | Total expenditures \$ | 576,200 \$ | 615,730 | \$ | (39,530) \$ | 422,183 |

City of Calabasas Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2023

| Date Acquired | Description | Balance July 1, 2022 | - 1- | Additions | Deletions | Balance June 30, 2023 |
|------------------|---|----------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Mar-17 | 2016 30-Pass Glaval Entourage Van (VIN#8798) \$ | 98,252 | \$ | - \$ | - \$ | 98,252 |
| Mar-17 | 2016 30-Pass Glaval Entourage Van (VIN#4817) | 98,252 | | | • | 98,252 |
| | Total \$ | 196,504 | \$ | - \$ | - \$ | 196,504 |

| | | | June 30 | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-----|---------|--|
| | | | 2023 | = | 2022 | |
| Cash and investments | ASSETS | \$ | 872,842 | \$ | 762,217 | |
| Interest receivable | | Ψ | 820 | Ψ | 692 | |
| | Total assets | \$_ | 873,662 | \$_ | 762,909 | |
| | AND FUND BALANCE | | | | | |
| Liabilities Accrued payroll Accounts payable | | \$ | 1,173 32 | \$ | 2,389 | |
| , toodanto payablo | Total liabilities | | 1,205 | | 2,389 | |
| Fund balance | | | | | | |
| Restricted | | | 872,457 | | 760,520 | |
| | Total fund balance | | 872,457 | _ | 760,520 | |
| | Total liabilities and fund balance | \$_ | 873,662 | \$_ | 762,909 | |

City of Calabasas Proposition C Local Return Fund Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

| | | | Years ended June 30 | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | | 2023 | | 2022 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Proposition C | | \$ | 530,692 | \$ | 506,767 |
| Interest income | | | 18,320 | | 1,497 |
| | Total revenues | | 549,012 | | 508,264 |
| Expenditures Various projects | Total expenditures | - | 437,075 437,075 | 3 - | 336,821 336,821 |
| Excess of revenues over expenditures | | | 111,937 | | 171,443 |
| Fund balance at beginning of year | | = | 760,520 | - | 589,077 |
| Fund balance at end of year | | \$_ | 872,457 | \$_ | 760,520 |

City of Calabasas Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2023 (With Comparative Actuals for 2022)

| | | | 2023 | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Project Code | Project Name | Metro Budget | Actual | Variance Positive (Negative) | 2022 Actual |
| 110 | Flexible Route Shuttle \$ | 90,000 \$ | 96,718 \$ | (6,718) \$ | 75,624 |
| 110 | Old Town Calabasas/Commons Trolley | 30,000 | 24,799 | 5,201 | 19,393 |
| 110 | Public Transit Fueling | 32,000 | 37,571 | (5,571) | 28,823 |
| 110 | JARC Grant Local Match Funding | 95,000 | 82,052 | 12,948 | 60,578 |
| 130 | Dial-A-Ride | 95,000 | 78,830 | 16,170 | 80,091 |
| 140 | Summer Beach Bus | 5,000 | 4,181 | 819 | 1,224 |
| 140 | Calabasas Pumpkin Festival | 1,100 | 796 | 304 | - 3 |
| 180 | Vehicle and Miscellaneous Equipment | 37,000 | 24,713 | 12,287 | 14,951 |
| 280 | Transit Marketing for Calabasas Trolley | 100 | _ | 100 | - |
| 620 | Direct Administration | 105,000 | 87,415 | 17,585 | 56,137 |
| 705 | Annual Resurfacing Project | 155,000 | - | 155,000 | 3 |
| | Total expenditures \$ | 645,200 \$ | 437,075 \$ | 208,125 \$ | 336,821 |

| Date Acquired | Description | | Balance July 1, 2022 | | Additions | Deletions | Balance June 30, 2023 |
|------------------|--|----------|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Jun-03 | 2 Transit Buses | \$ | 21,381 | S | - \$ | - \$ | 21,381 |
| Jun-04 | 3 Transit Buses | | 32,753 | | | 5 | 32,753 |
| Aug-04 | Gas Powered Trolley | | 16,258 | | 220 | Ţ | 16,258 |
| Jun-05 | 30 Passenger Bus (2) | | 43,460 | | :: | 5 | 43,460 |
| Mar-06 | El Dorado Van | | 10,139 | | 927 | 말 | 10,139 |
| Sep-10 | 2010 Chevy Titan 29' Shuttle (VIN #3245) | | 4,464 | | 200 | 골 | 4,464 |
| Sep-10 | 2010 Chevy Titan 29' Shuttle (VIN #2683) | | 4,464 | | 500) | * | 4,464 |
| Jun-20 | 2019 Glaval Entourage Bus (VIN #0629) | | 79,236 | | 3.63 | * | 79,236 |
| Jun-20 | 2019 Glaval Entourage Bus (VIN #0631) | | 79,236 | | 369 | | 79,236 |
| | - , | Total \$ | 291,391 | \$ | - \$ | - \$ | 291,391 |

| | | | June 30 | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|
| | | - | 2023 | _ | 2022 |
| Cash and investments | ASSETS Total assets | \$_ \$ | <u> </u> | \$_ \$ | <u></u> |
| | Total assets | Ψ | | Т - | |
| LIABILITIES | S AND FUND DEFICIT | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | | \$ | 9 | \$ | 17 |
| Accrued payroll | | | 342 | | 1,880 |
| Due to general fund | | | 96,560 | | 486,702 |
| • | Total liabilities | _ | 96,911 | · - | 488,599 |
| Fund deficit | | | | | |
| Restricted | | _ | (96,911) | | (488,599) |
| | Total fund deficit | _ | (96,911) | | (488,599) |
| | Total liabilities and fund deficit | \$_ | | \$_ | 7. |

City of Calabasas Measure R Local Return Fund Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

| | | | Years ended June 30 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|------------------|--|
| | | | 2023 | | 2022 | |
| Revenues Measure R Interest loss | | \$ | 397,905 (6,217) | \$ | 380,014 (986) | |
| morest loss | Total revenues | _ | 391,688 | = | 379,028 | |
| Expenditures Various projects | | | (5) | | 357,979 | |
| , | Total expenditures | = | • | := | 357,979 | |
| Excess of revenues over expenditures | | | 391,688 | | 21,049 | |
| Fund deficit at beginning of year | | | (488,599) | Ξ | (509,648) | |
| Fund deficit at end of year | | \$_ | (96,911) | \$_ | (488,599) | |

City of Calabasas Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2023 (With Comparative Actuals for 2022)

| | | 2023 | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Project Code | Project Name | Metro Budget | Actual | Variance Positive (Negative) | 2022 Actual | | | |
| 110 | Public Transit Fueling \$ | - S | - | s - \$ | 9,968 | | | |
| 110 | Flexible Route Shuttle | g ~ | | · · | 26,171 | | | |
| 110 | Old Town Calabasas/Commons Trolley | * | (*) | | 6,448 | | | |
| 110 | JARC Grant Local Match Funding | - | | | 20,814 | | | |
| 130 | Dial-A-Ride | - | (4) | g. | 27,699 | | | |
| 140 | Summer Beach Bus | | | | 413 | | | |
| 180 | Vehicle and Misc. Equipment | * | 300 | * | 5,171 | | | |
| 270 | Rondell Park and Ride | € | | 9 | 201,632 | | | |
| 630 | Direct Administration | * | (#C) | - | 59,663 | | | |
| 715 | Sidewalk Repair and Replacement | 500,000 | | 500,000 | | | | |
| | Total expenditures \$ | 500,000 \$ | | \$ 500,000 \$ | 357,979 | | | |

City of Calabasas Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2023

| Date Acquired | | Description | | Balance July 1, 2022 | | Additions | Deletions | Balance June 30, 2023 |
|------------------|------|-------------|---------|----------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| N/A | None | | ; | B | - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | |
| | | | Total 3 | Б | - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | |

| | | 1 | ne 3 | 0 11 |
|---|---------|--------|-------|-----------|
| | 2 | 2023 | ile 3 | 2022 |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 65,122 | \$ | - |
| Total asse | ets \$ | 65,122 | \$_ | |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT) | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 26 | \$ | 30 |
| Accrued payroll | | 966 | | 3,715 |
| Due to general fund | | • | | 378,896 |
| Total liabiliti | ies | 992 | _ | 382,641 |
| Fund balance (deficit) | | | | |
| Restricted | | 64,130 | | (382,641) |
| Total fund balance (defic | cit) | 64,130 | 7 7== | (382,641) |
| Total liabilities and fund balance (defic | cit) \$ | 65,122 | \$_ | |

| | | | Years ended June 30 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----|---------------------|----|-----------|
| | | | 2023 | 7. | 2022 |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Measure M | | \$ | 450,115 | \$ | 430,030 |
| Interest loss | | | (3,344) | | (926) |
| | Total revenues | - | 446,771 | Ī | 429,104 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Various projects | | | | | 249,934 |
| | Total expenditures | _ | | - | 249,934 |
| Excess of revenues over expenditures | | | 446,771 | | 179,170 |
| Fund deficit at beginning of year | | | (382,641) | | (561,811) |
| Fund balance (deficit) at end of year | | \$_ | 64,130 | \$ | (382,641) |

City of Calabasas Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2023 (With Comparative Actuals for 2022)

| | | | 2023 | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Project Code | Project Name | Metro Budget | Actual | Variance Positive (Negative) | 2022 Actual | | | |
| 270 | Rondell Park & Ride \$ | - : \$ | × | \$ - \$ | 208,278 | | | |
| 640 | Direct Administration | 2 | | 8 | 41,656 | | | |
| 705 | Guardrail Replacement | 30,000 | - | 30,000 | | | | |
| 715 | Las Virgenes Road Green Street | | | | | | | |
| | Project | 1,616,164 | | 1,616,164 | - | | | |
| 720 | Guardrail Replacement | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | | | |
| 780 | TDM & VMT Policy Study for City Impact | | | | | | | |
| | Analysis | 250,000 | | 250,000 | | | | |
| | Total expenditures \$ | 1,926,164 \$ | - | \$ 1,926,164 \$ | 249,934 | | | |

City of Calabasas Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2023

| Date Acquired | | Description | | Balance July 1, 2022 | | Additions | Deletions | Balance June 30, 2023 |
|------------------|------|-------------|----------|----------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| N/A | None | | \$ | | - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | |
| | | | Total \$ | | - \$ | - \$ | - \$ | |

| | | | Ju | ne 30 |) |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | | | 2023 | | 2022 |
| | ASSETS | | | | |
| Due from Metro | | \$ | 29,834 | \$_ | 15,324 |
| | Total assets | \$ | 29,834 | \$_ | 15,324 |
| Liabilities Due to general f | LIABILITIES AND FUND DEFICIT und Total liabilities | \$ _ | 59,668 59,668 | \$ _ | 30,648 30,648 |
| Fund deficit Restricted | | | (29,834) | | (15,324) |
| i (Catiloted | Total fund deficit | :) : | (29,834) | = | (15,324) |
| | Total liabilities and fund deficit | \$_ | 29,834 | \$_ | 15,324 |

City of Calabasas Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

| | | | Years ended June 30 | | |
|--|--------------------|----|---------------------|-----|----------|
| | | | 2023 | | 2022 |
| Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: | | | | | |
| Article 3 | | \$ | 7,455 | \$ | 5,495 |
| Investment gain (loss) | | | (970) | | 141 |
| | Total revenues | | 6,485 | Ξ | 5,636 |
| Expenditures | | | | | |
| Tree Trimming/Removal Along Bike Lanes | | _ | 20,995 | _ | 6,955 |
| | Total expenditures | - | 20,995 | - | 6,955 |
| Deficiency of revenues over expenditures | | | (14,510) | | (1,319) |
| Fund deficit at beginning of year | | | (15,324) | | (14,005) |
| Fund balance deficit at end of year | | \$ | (29,834) | \$_ | (15,324) |

City of Calabasas Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2023

| | | | - | Totals to Date | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------------|------------|----------------|------|------------------|-----|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Project Description | | Progran Year | า = = | Allocations | -);- | Expenditures | | Jnexpended Allocations | Project Status |
| Local Allocations: | | | | | | | | | |
| Tree Trimming/Removal Along Bike Lanes | Totals | 2023 | \$_ \$_ | 7,455 7,455 | - 1 | 20,995 20,995 | \$_ | (13,540) (13,540) | Ongoing |
| Investment loss | | | | | | | | (970) | |
| Fund deficit at beginning of year | | | | | | | _ | (15,324) | |
| Fund deficit at end of year | | | | | | | \$_ | (29,834) | |

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by Metro and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2023 and 2022:

Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are
externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained
due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds'
remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by Metro.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's ACFR.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2023 and 2022, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's ACFR for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2023 and 2022 consisted of the following:

| | 2023 | 3 | 2022 |
|-----------------------|------|---------|-------|
| FY 2020/21 allocation | \$ 7 | ,455 \$ | 5,495 |
| | \$7 | ,455 \$ | 5,495 |

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2023 and 2022, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

| | | 2023 | | 2022 |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|----|--------|
| FY 2020/21 allocation | | \$ 409 | \$ | 7,864 |
| FY 2021/22 allocation | | 17,730 | | 17,730 |
| FY 2022/23 allocation | | 22,922 | | |
| | Total reserve | \$ 41,061 | \$ | 25,594 |

For FY 2022/23, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2018/19 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2023. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2022/23.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2023 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through December 20, 2023, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.

655 N. Central Avenue Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203





LOS ANGELES
SAN DIEGO
IRVINE
SACRAMENTO
FRESNO
PHOENIX
LAS VEGAS
MANILA, PH

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Calabasas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Calabasas, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2023, and the related notes to the Funds financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 20, 2023.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.





Report on Compliance and Other Matters

Varguer & Company LLP

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Glendale, California December 20, 2023

29



655 N. Central Avenue Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203





LOS ANGELES
\SAN DIEGO
\IRVINE
\SACRAMENTO
\FRESNO
\PHOENIX
\LAS VEGAS
\MANILA, PH

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Guidelines

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Calabasas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

Opinion

We have audited the City of Calabasas, California's (the City) compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2023.

In our opinion, the City of Calabasas, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2023.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (Government Auditing Standards); and the Guidelines. Our responsibilities under those standards and the Guidelines are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.





Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or agreements applicable to the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Guidelines will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of the Guidelines as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, Government Auditing Standards, and the Guidelines, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and
 design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include
 examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance
 requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered
 necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in
 order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report
 on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guidelines, but not for the purpose of
 expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.
 Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.



Other Matter

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance which is required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding #2023-001. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our compliance audit described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control overcompliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with the Guidelines on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the City's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our compliance audit described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.



The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Glendale, California December 20, 2023

| | Compliance Requirements | | omplia | ınce | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|----|--|-----|--------|--------------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | Yes | No | N/A | Costs | management response. |
| Α. | Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds | | | | | |
| | Uses the State Controller's | | | | | |
| | Uniform System of Accounts and | | | | | |
| | Records or has established a | | | | | |
| | separate Proposition A and | | | | | |
| | Proposition C Local Transit | | | | | |
| | Assistance Account for Local | | | | | |
| | Return purposes. | X | | | | |
| | 2. Revenues received including | | | 19 | | |
| | allocations, project generated | | | | | |
| | revenues and interest income was | | | | | |
| | properly credited to the Proposition | | | | | |
| | A and/or Proposition C Local | | | | | 12 |
| | Return Account. | X | | | | |
| | 3. Funds were expended with Metro's | | | | | |
| | approval and were not substituted | | | | | |
| | for property tax. | X | | | | |
| | Timely use of funds. | Х | | | | |
| | 5. Administrative expenses are within | i | | | | |
| | the 20% cap. | X | | | | |
| | Expenditures that exceeded 25% | | | | | |
| | of approved project budget have | | | | | |
| | approved amended Project | | | | | |
| | Description Form (Form A) or | | | | | |
| | electronic equivalent. | | | X | | |
| | Annual Project Update Report | | | | | |
| | (Form B) or electronic equivalent | | | | | |
| | was submitted on time. | X | | | | |
| | 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form | | | | | |
| | C) or electronic equivalent was | | | | | |
| | submitted on time. | | Х | | | See Finding #2023-001 |
| | 9. Pavement Management System | | | | | |
| | (PMS) is in place and being used | | | | | |
| | for Street Maintenance or | | | | | |
| | Improvement Projects | | | | | |
| | Expenditures. | | | X | | |
| | 10. Local Return Account is credited | | | | | |
| | for reimbursable expenditures. | | | X | | |
| | 11. Where Proposition A funds were | | | | | |
| | given, loaned or exchanged by one | | | | | |
| | jurisdiction to another, the | | | | | |
| | receiving jurisdiction has credited | | | | | |
| | its Local Return Account with the | | | _v | | |
| | funds received. | | | X | | |
| | 12. Self-Certification was completed | | | | | |
| | and submitted for Intelligent | | | | | |
| | Transportation Systems projects and elements. | | | x | | |
| | and elements. | | | | | L |

| | Commission of Bosselson outs | In C | ompli | ance | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|----|--|------|-------|--------|------------|----------------------------|
| | Compliance Requirements | | No | No N/A | Costs | management response. |
| A. | Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds | | | | | |
| | 13. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent. | | | x | | |
| | 14. Recreational transit form was submitted on time. | | | X | | |
| | 15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro. | | | х | | |
| | Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes. | x | | | | |
| | 17. All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent. | x | | | | |
| | Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. | Х | | | | |
| | Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate. | х | | | | |

| | Compliance Requirements | | In Compliance | | | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|----|-------------------------|--|---------------|-----|-------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| _ | | Yes | No | N/A | Costs | management response. | |
| B. | | asure R Local Return Fund | _ | | | | T |
| | 1. | Funds were expended for transportation purposes. | | | | | There were no expenditures charged |
| | | i al operation parposes. | | | | | to MRLRF during |
| | | | | | Х | | FY2022/23. |
| | 2. | Separate Measure R Local Return | | | | | 1 12022720. |
| | | Account was established. | Х | | | | |
| | 3. | Revenues received including | - 1 | | | | |
| | | allocations, project generated | | | | | |
| | | revenues and interest income was | | | | | |
| | | properly credited to the Measure R | | | | | |
| | | Local Return Account. | Х | | | | |
| | 4. | Funds were expended with Metro's | | | | | |
| | | approval. | | | Х | | |
| | 5. | Funds were not substituted for | | | | | |
| | | property tax and are in compliance | | | | | |
| | | with the Maintenance of Effort. | Х | | | | |
| | 6. | Timely use of funds. | Х | | | | |
| | 7. | Administrative expenses are within | | | | | |
| | | the 20% cap. | | | Х | | |
| | 8. | Expenditure Plan (Form One or | | | | | |
| | | electronic equivalent) was | | 52 | | | |
| | | submitted timely. | X | | | | |
| | 9. | Annual Expenditure Report (Form | | | | | |
| | | Two or electronic equivalent) was | | | | l l | |
| | | submitted timely. | | | Х | | |
| | 10. | Where funds expended were | | | | | |
| | | reimbursable by other grants or | | | | | |
| 1 | | fund sources, the reimbursement | | | | | |
| | | was credited to the Local Return | | | | | |
| | | Account upon receipt of the | | | | | |
| _ | | reimbursement. | | | Х | | |
| | 11. | Where Measure R funds were | | | | | |
| | | given, loaned or exchanged by one | | | | | |
| 1 | | jurisdiction to another, the | | | | | |
| 1 | | receiving jurisdiction has credited | | | | | |
| | | its Local Return Account with the | | | ., | | |
| | 40 | funds received. | | | X | | |
| | 12. | A separate account was | | | | | |
| | | established for Capital reserve | | | | | |
| | | funds and Capital reserve was | | | , | | |
| - | 40 | approved by Metro. | | | _X_ | | |
| | 13. | Funds were used to augment, not | | | | | |
| | | supplant existing local revenues | | | | | |
| | | being used for transportation | | | | | |
| 1 | | purposes unless there is a fund | | | , | | |
| L | | shortfall. | | | X | | * |

| | Compliance Beguirements | In C | omplia | ince | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|----|---------------------------------------|------|--------|------|------------|----------------------------|
| | Compliance Requirements | | Yes No | N/A | Costs | management response. |
| B. | Measure R Local Return Fund∕ | | | | | |
| | 14. Recreational transit form was | | | | | |
| | submitted on time. | | | X | | |
| | 15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or | | | | | |
| | gifts) were approved by Metro. | | | X | | |
| | 16. Accounting procedures, record | | | | | |
| | keeping, and documentation are | | | | | |
| | adequate. | X | | | | |

| | Compliance Requirements | | omplia | | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|-----|---|-----|--------|----------------|------------|--|
| C B | | Yes | No | N/A | Costs | management response. |
| | Measure M Local Return Fund . Funds were expended for transportation purposes. | | | | | There were no expenditures charged to MMLRF during |
| | | | | X | | FY2022/23. |
| 2 | . Separate Measure M Local Return | | | | | |
| | Account was established. | X | | | | |
| 3 | Revenues received including | | | | | |
| | allocations, project generated | | | | | |
| | revenues and interest income was | | | | | |
| | properly credited to the Measure M | | | | | |
| | Local Return Account. | X | | | | |
| 4 | Funds were expended with Metro's | | | , | | |
| | approval. | | | Х | | |
| 5 | Funds were not substituted for | | | | | |
| | property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort. | , | | | | |
| - 6 | Timely use of funds. | X | | | | |
| | . Administrative expenses are within | | | | | |
| ' | the 20% cap. | | | x | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| _ | electronic equivalent) was | | | | | 0 |
| | submitted timely. | X | | | | |
| 9 | . Expenditure Report (Form M-Two | | | | | |
| | or electronic equivalent) was | | | | | |
| | submitted timely. | | | Х | | |
| 1 | Where funds expended were | | | | | |
| | reimbursable by other grants or | | | | | |
| | fund sources, the reimbursement | | | | | |
| | was credited to the Local Return | | | | | |
| | Account upon receipt of the reimbursement. | | | х | | |
| 1 | Where Measure M funds were | | | | | |
| ' | given, loaned or exchanged by one | | | | | |
| | jurisdiction to another, the | | | | | |
| | receiving jurisdiction has credited | | | | | |
| | its Local Return Account with the | | | | | |
| | funds received. | | | Х | | |
| 1 | A separate account was | | | | | |
| | established for Capital reserve | | | | | |
| | funds and Capital reserve was | | | | | |
| | approved by Metro. | | | Х | | |
| 1 | 3. Funds were used to augment, not | | | | | * |
| | supplant existing local revenues | ~ | | | | |
| | being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund | | | | | |
| | | | | , _v | | |
| | shortfall. | | | X | | |

| In Compliance | | | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|---------------|-----|--------|----------------|----------------------------|
| Yes No | No | o N/A | Costs | management response. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Х | | |
| | | x | | |
| _ | | | | |
| | Yes | Yes No | Yes No N/A X X | Yes No N/A Costs X X |

| | Compliance Requirements | | ompli | ance | Questioned Costs | If no, provide details and management response. |
|----|--|---|-------|-------|---------------------|---|
| | | | No | o N/A | | |
| D. | Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund | | | | | |
| | Timely use of funds. | Х | Į (| | | |
| | Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities. | x | | | | |
| | Annual Claim Form was submitted timely. | X | | | | |

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

PALRF and PCLRF: Finding #2023-001

| Section I (C) Annual Expenditure Report (Actuals Entry) of the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines state that, "Jurisdiction shall submit on or before October 15 th of each fiscal year an Annual Expenditure Report (Actuals Entry) to provide an update on previous year LR fund receipts and expenditures." |
|--|
| The City submitted its Annual Expenditure Report (Actuals Entry) to Metro on November 2, 2023, 18 days after the due date of October 15, 2023. |
| The City inadvertently missed the filing deadline. |
| The City's Annual Expenditure Report (Actuals Entry) was not submitted timely. The City was not in compliance with the reporting requirements of the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines. |
| We recommend the City establish procedures and internal controls to ensure that the Annual Expenditure Report (Actuals Entry) is submitted by October 15th as required by the Guidelines. |
| The City will ensure the Proposition A and C Actual Entries is submitted in a timely manner by October 15 th of each fiscal year. |
| The City subsequently submitted the Annual Expenditure Report (Actuals Entry). No additional follow-up is required. |
| |

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 13, 2023 with the City of Calabasas representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives:

Marialyn Labastilla – Audit Director

Erica Ong – Audit Manager

Ihab Fakhreddine – BCA Watson Rice Senior Auditor

City of Calabasas representatives:

Ron Ahlers – Chief Financial Officer Cedric Henry – Grant/Contract Administrator Tatiana Holden – Deputy Public Works Director Tra'a Bezdecny - Assistant Engineer

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Calabasas representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Ron Ahlers – Chief Financial Officer Cedric Henry – Grant/Contract Administrator Tatiana Holden – Deputy Public Works Director Tra'a Bezdecny - Assistant Engineer Vasquez & Company LLP 655 North Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203

RE: CITY OF CALABASAS, CALIFORNIA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, THE PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, THE MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, THE MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND AND THE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2023 AND 2022.

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund, for the years ended June 30, 2023 and 2022 for the City of Calabasas and agreed with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

| Very truly yours, |
|-------------------|
| Name |
| |
| Title |
| |
| Date |



www.vasquez.cpa

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.