

From: [Kindon Meik](#)
To: [Mary Sue Maurer](#); [Mary Sue Maurer - External](#); [David Shapiro](#); [James Bozajian - External](#); [Alicia Weintraub](#); [Peter Kraut](#); [Maricela Hernandez](#)
Subject: Fwd: Item 3 on 4/27/22 agenda
Date: Tuesday, April 26, 2022 9:35:02 PM

Forwarding the message below in the event that you did not already receive it.

From: Michael Harrison <harrisonlaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2022 10:30:24 AM
To: Kindon Meik <kmeik@cityofcalabasas.com>
Cc: Matthew Summers <msummers@chwlw.us>; info <info@cityofcalabasas.com>
Subject: Item 3 on 4/27/22 agenda

Hi Kindon,

I'm not opposed to the falconry contract, just who pays for it.

You may be aware that the members of CPHA and the homeowners of LMD 22 are not the same. The older associations in Calabasas Park are voluntary members of CPHA, and if they do not choose to join CPHA, they are not allowed to visit the lake or walk around it.

The older associations include my own, Calabasas Hills Estates, and Vista Pointe, part of Westridge, and I believe Classic Calabasas.

If CPHA wishes to maintain the lake, then *their* members should pay for it, and not shift payment to people who cannot use it. That seems unethical, to say the least.

This has been an ongoing dispute for decades, and while there are no easy solutions, adding more lake maintenance fees to tax bills of those of us in LMD 22 who are not members of CPHA is wrong, in my opinion. CPHA should assess their own members for the additional maintenance costs, not spread those costs to people who cannot use the lake.

Kind regards,

Michael

Michael Harrison
HARRISON & HARRISON
Attorneys at Law
harrisonlaw@gmail.com
www.harrisonlawusa.com

(818) 224-5600 telephone
(818) 224-5632 fax

23901 Calabasas Road, Suite 2018
Calabasas, CA 91302-1597